§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	000000000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

# Self-similar Markov processes Part I: One dimension

Andreas Kyprianou University of Bath

A more thorough set of lecture notes can be found here: https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.04343 Other related material found here https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06356 https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.09924



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# **CONTENTS**

# PART I: ONE DIMENSION

- §1. Quick review of Lévy processes
- §2. Self-similar Markov processes
- §3. Lamperti Transform
- ▶ §4. Positive self-similar Markov processes
- ▶ §5. Entrance Laws
- §6. Real valued self-similar Markov processes

## PART II: HIGHER DIMENSIONS

- ▶ §7. Isotropic stable processes in dimension  $d \ge 2$  seen as Lévy processes
- ▶ §8. Isotropic stable processes in dimension  $d \ge 2$  seen as a self-similar Markov process
- §9. Riesz–Bogdan–Żak transform
- ▶ §10. Hitting spheres
- §11. Spherical hitting distribution
- §12. Spherical entrance/exit distribution

§1. §	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### §1. Quick review of Lévy processes

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
●000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# (KILLED) LÉVY PROCESS

• (ξ<sub>t</sub>, t ≥ 0) is a (killed) Lévy process if it has stationary and independents with RCLL paths (and is sent to a cemetery state after and independent and exponentially distributed time).

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
●000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# (KILLED) LÉVY PROCESS

- (ξ<sub>t</sub>, t ≥ 0) is a (killed) Lévy process if it has stationary and independents with RCLL paths (and is sent to a cemetery state after and independent and exponentially distributed time).
- Process is entirely characterised by its one-dimensional transitions, which are coded by the Lévy–Khinchine formula

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}_t}] = \mathbf{e}^{-\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})t}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where,

$$\Psi(\theta) = q + \mathrm{i} \mathbf{a} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot \mathbf{A} \theta + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta \cdot x} + \mathrm{i} (\theta \cdot x) \mathbf{1}_{(|x| < 1)}) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x),$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , **A** is a  $d \times d$  Gaussian covariance matrix and  $\Pi$  is a measure satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^2) \Pi(dx) < \infty$ . Think of  $\Pi$  as the intensity of jumps in the sense of

**P**(X has jump at time *t* of size dx) =  $\Pi(dx)dt + o(dt)$ .

4/66

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
●000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# (KILLED) LÉVY PROCESS

- (ξ<sub>t</sub>, t ≥ 0) is a (killed) Lévy process if it has stationary and independents with RCLL paths (and is sent to a cemetery state after and independent and exponentially distributed time).
- Process is entirely characterised by its one-dimensional transitions, which are coded by the Lévy–Khinchine formula

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}_t}] = \mathbf{e}^{-\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})t}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where,

$$\Psi(\theta) = q + \mathrm{i} \mathbf{a} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot \mathbf{A} \theta + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta \cdot x} + \mathrm{i} (\theta \cdot x) \mathbf{1}_{(|x| < 1)}) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x),$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , **A** is a  $d \times d$  Gaussian covariance matrix and  $\Pi$  is a measure satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^2) \Pi(dx) < \infty$ . Think of  $\Pi$  as the intensity of jumps in the sense of

 $\mathbf{P}(X \text{ has jump at time } t \text{ of size } dx) = \Pi(dx)dt + o(dt).$ 

In one dimension the path of a Lévy process can be monotone, in which case it is called a *subordinator* and we work with the Laplace exponent

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\xi_t}] = \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\lambda)t}, \qquad t \ge 0$$

where

$$\Phi(\lambda) = q + \delta \lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \Upsilon(\mathrm{d}x), \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

Two examples in one dimension:

Stable subordinator  $(\xi_t, t \ge 0)$  is a subordinator which satisfies the additional scaling property: For c > 0

under  $\mathbb{P}$ , the law of  $(c\xi_{c^{-\alpha}t}, t \ge 0)$  is equal to  $\mathbb{P}$ ,

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We have

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha}, \quad \lambda \ge 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(dx) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx, \quad x > 0.$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

Two examples in one dimension:

Stable subordinator  $(\xi_t, t \ge 0)$  is a subordinator which satisfies the additional scaling property: For c > 0

under  $\mathbb{P}$ , the law of  $(c\xi_{c^{-\alpha}t}, t \ge 0)$  is equal to  $\mathbb{P}$ ,

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We have

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Pi(dx) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx, \qquad x > 0.$$

▶ Hypgergeometric Lévy process: For  $\beta \leq 1, \gamma \in (0,1), \hat{\beta} \geq 0, \hat{\gamma} \in (0,1)$ 

$$\Psi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta + \gamma - i\theta)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - i\theta)} \frac{\Gamma(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma} + i\theta)}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta} + i\theta)} \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Lévy measure has a density with respect to Lebesgue measure which is given by

$$\pi(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{\Gamma(\eta - \hat{\gamma})\Gamma(-\gamma)} e^{-(1-\beta+\gamma)x} {}_2F_1\left(1 + \gamma, \eta; \eta - \hat{\gamma}; e^{-x}\right), & \text{if } x > 0, \\ -\frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{\Gamma(\eta - \gamma)\Gamma(-\hat{\gamma})} e^{(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma})x} {}_2F_1\left(1 + \hat{\gamma}, \eta; \eta - \gamma; e^x\right), & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta := 1 - \beta + \gamma + \hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma}$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

• If  $\xi$  has a characteristic exponent  $\Psi$  then necessarily

$$\Psi(\theta) = \kappa(-\mathrm{i}\theta)\hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions, e.g.

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + \delta \lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \Upsilon(dx), \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

• If  $\xi$  has a characteristic exponent  $\Psi$  then necessarily

$$\Psi(\theta) = \kappa(-\mathrm{i}\theta)\hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions, e.g.

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + \delta \lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \Upsilon(\mathrm{d} x), \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

6/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

The factorisation has a physical interpretation:

- ▶ range of the  $\kappa$ -subordinator agrees with the range of  $\sup_{s < t} \xi_s$ ,  $t \ge 0$
- range  $\hat{\kappa}$ -subordinator agrees with the range of  $-\inf_{s \leq t} \xi_{s,t} \ge 0$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

• If  $\xi$  has a characteristic exponent  $\Psi$  then necessarily

$$\Psi(\theta) = \kappa(-\mathrm{i}\theta)\hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions, e.g.

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + \delta \lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \Upsilon(\mathrm{d} x), \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

The factorisation has a physical interpretation:

- ▶ range of the  $\kappa$ -subordinator agrees with the range of  $\sup_{s < t} \xi_s$ ,  $t \ge 0$
- range  $\hat{\kappa}$ -subordinator agrees with the range of  $-\inf_{s \leq t} \xi_{s,t} \ge 0$ .

• Note if 
$$\delta > 0$$
, then  $\mathbf{P}(\xi_{\tau_x^+} = x) > 0$ , where  $\tau_x^+ = \inf\{t > 0 : \xi_t > x\}, x > 0$ .

• If  $\xi$  has a characteristic exponent  $\Psi$  then necessarily

$$\Psi(\theta) = \kappa(-i\theta)\hat{\kappa}(i\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions, e.g.

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + \delta \lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \Upsilon(\mathrm{d} x), \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

The factorisation has a physical interpretation:

- ▶ range of the  $\kappa$ -subordinator agrees with the range of  $\sup_{s < t} \xi_s$ ,  $t \ge 0$
- range  $\hat{\kappa}$ -subordinator agrees with the range of  $-\inf_{s \leq t} \xi_{s,t} \ge 0$ .
- ▶ Note if  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(\xi_{\tau_x^+} = x) > 0$ , where  $\tau_x^+ = \inf\{t > 0 : \xi_t > x\}, x > 0$ .
- We have already seen the hypergeometric example

$$\Psi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta + \gamma - \mathrm{i}\theta)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - \mathrm{i}\theta)} \qquad \times \qquad \frac{\Gamma(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma} + \mathrm{i}\theta)}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta} + \mathrm{i}\theta)} \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

66/6 うりつ 声 《声》《声》《日》

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### HITTING POINTS

• We say that  $\xi$  *can hit a point*  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if

 $\mathbf{P}(\xi_t = x \text{ for at least one } t > 0) > 0.$ 



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### HITTING POINTS

• We say that  $\xi$  *can hit a point*  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if

 $\mathbf{P}(\xi_t = x \text{ for at least one } t > 0) > 0.$ 

7/66

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー のへぐ

Creeping is one way to hit a point, but not the only way

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### HITTING POINTS

• We say that  $\xi$  *can hit a point*  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if

 $\mathbf{P}(\xi_t = x \text{ for at least one } t > 0) > 0.$ 

Creeping is one way to hit a point, but not the only way

Theorem (Kesten (1969)/Bretagnolle (1971))

Suppose that  $\xi$  is not a compound Poisson process. Then  $\xi$  can hit points if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+\Psi(z)}\right) \mathrm{d} z < \infty.$$

If the Kesten-Bretagnolle integral test is satisfied, then

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau^{\{x\}} < \infty) = \frac{u(x)}{u(0)},$$

where  $\tau^{\{x\}} = \inf\{t > 0 : \xi_t = x\}$ , providing we can compute the inversion

$$u(x) = \int_{c+i\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-zx}}{\Psi(-\mathrm{i}z)} \mathrm{d}z$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

7/66 イロト ( 母 ) ( 主 ) ( 主 ) ( の ) ( つ ) (

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### §2. Self-similar Markov processes

## Self-Similar Markov processes (SSMP)

#### Definition

A regular strong Markov process  $(Z_t : t \ge 0)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with probabilities  $\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , is a rssMp if there exists an index  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  such that for all c > 0 and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

 $(cZ_{tc^{-\alpha}}: t \ge 0)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$  is equal in law to  $(Z_t: t \ge 0)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_{cx}$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

▶ Write  $\mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$  for the Normal distribution with mean  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and correlation (matrix)  $\Sigma$ . The moment generating function of  $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma t)$  satisfies, for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e}^{\theta \cdot X_t}] = \mathbf{e}^{t\theta^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\theta/2} = \mathbf{e}^{(c^{-2}t)(c\theta)^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(c\theta)/2} = E[\mathbf{e}^{\theta \cdot cX_{c^{-2}t}}].$$

10/66

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー のへぐ

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

### Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

• Write  $\mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  for the Normal distribution with mean  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and correlation (matrix)  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . The moment generating function of  $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}t)$  satisfies, for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e}^{\theta \cdot X_t}] = \mathbf{e}^{t\theta^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \theta/2} = \mathbf{e}^{(c^{-2}t)(c\theta)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(c\theta)/2} = E[\mathbf{e}^{\theta \cdot cX_c - 2_t}].$$

10/66

Thinking about the stationary and independent increments of Brownian motion, this can be used to show that  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -Brownian motion: is a ssMp with  $\alpha = 2$ .

## Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ss}\mathrm{Mp}$

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Brownian motion:

▶ Write  $\underline{X}_t := \inf_{s < t} X_s$ . Then  $(X_t, \underline{X}_t)$ ,  $t \ge 0$  is a Markov process.



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

## Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Brownian motion:

- ▶ Write  $\underline{X}_t := \inf_{s \leq t} X_s$ . Then  $(X_t, \underline{X}_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is a Markov process.
- For *c* > 0 and *α* = 2,

$$\binom{c\underline{X}_{c}-\alpha_{t}}{cX_{c}-\alpha_{t}} = \binom{c\inf_{s\leq c-\alpha_{t}}X_{s}}{cX_{c}-\alpha_{t}} = \binom{\inf_{u\leq t}cX_{c}-\alpha_{u}}{cX_{c}-\alpha_{t}}, \quad t\geq 0,$$

and the latter is equal in law to  $(X, \underline{X})$ , because of the scaling property of X.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### Some of your best friends are ssMp

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Brownian motion:

- ▶ Write  $\underline{X}_t := \inf_{s \leq t} X_s$ . Then  $(X_t, \underline{X}_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is a Markov process.
- For *c* > 0 and *α* = 2,

$$\binom{c\underline{X}_{c-\alpha_{t}}}{cX_{c-\alpha_{t}}} = \binom{c\inf_{s \leq c-\alpha_{t}} X_{s}}{cX_{c-\alpha_{t}}} = \binom{\inf_{u \leq t} cX_{c-\alpha_{u}}}{cX_{c-\alpha_{t}}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and the latter is equal in law to  $(X, \underline{X})$ , because of the scaling property of X.

Markov process  $Z_t := X_t - (-x \land \underline{X}_t), t \ge 0$  is also a ssMp on  $[0, \infty)$  issued from x > 0 with index 2.

## Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Brownian motion:

- ▶ Write  $\underline{X}_t := \inf_{s \leq t} X_s$ . Then  $(X_t, \underline{X}_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is a Markov process.
- For *c* > 0 and *α* = 2,

$$\binom{c\underline{X}_{c^{-\alpha}t}}{cX_{c^{-\alpha}t}} = \binom{c\inf_{s \le c^{-\alpha}t} X_s}{cX_{c^{-\alpha}t}} = \binom{\inf_{u \le t} cX_{c^{-\alpha}u}}{cX_{c^{-\alpha}t}}, \quad t \ge 0,$$

and the latter is equal in law to  $(X, \underline{X})$ , because of the scaling property of X.

Markov process  $Z_t := X_t - (-x \land \underline{X}_t), t \ge 0$  is also a ssMp on  $[0, \infty)$  issued from x > 0 with index 2.

11/66

►  $Z_t := X_t \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_t > 0)}, t \ge 0$  is also a ssMp, again on  $[0, \infty)$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	00000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

## Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -Brownian motion:

- Consider  $Z_t := |X_t|$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Because of rotational invariance, it is a Markov process.
- Again the self-similarity (index 2) of Brownian motion, transfers to the case of |X|. Note again, this is a ssMp on [0,∞).

12/66

シック・ヨー イヨン イヨン イロン

## Some of your best friends are $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ssMp}}$

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -Brownian motion:

- Consider  $Z_t := |X_t|$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Because of rotational invariance, it is a Markov process.
- Again the self-similarity (index 2) of Brownian motion, transfers to the case of |X|. Note again, this is a ssMp on [0,∞).
- ▶ Note that  $|X_t|$ ,  $t \ge 0$  is a Bessel-*d* process. It turns out that all Bessel processes, *and* all squared Bessel processes are self-similar on  $[0, \infty)$ . Once can check this by e.g. considering scaling properties of their transition semi-groups.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

## Some of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

Suppose that  $(X_t : t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -Brownian motion:

Note when d = 3,  $|X_t|$ ,  $t \ge 0$  is also equal in law to a Brownian motion conditioned to stay positive: i.e if we define, for a 1-*d* Brownian motion ( $B_t : t \ge 0$ ),

$$\mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_x(A | \underline{B}_{t+s} > 0) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \frac{B_t}{x} \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{B}_t > 0)} \mathbf{1}_{(A)} \right]$$

where  $A \in \sigma\{B_t : u \leq t\}$ , then

 $(|X_t|, t \ge 0)$  with  $|X_0| = x$  is equal in law to  $(B, \mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow})$ .

13/66 《 다 > 《 쿱 > 《 클 > 《 클 > · 클 · · ⑦ < @

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

Some of the best friends of your best friends are ssMp

All of the previous examples have in common that their paths are continuous. Is this a necessary condition?

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### Some of the best friends of your best friends are $\mathrm{ssMp}$

- All of the previous examples have in common that their paths are continuous. Is this a necessary condition?
- We want to find more exotic examples as most of the previous examples have been extensively studied through existing theories (of Brownian motion and continuous semi-martingales).

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### Some of the best friends of your best friends are ssMp

- All of the previous examples have in common that their paths are continuous. Is this a necessary condition?
- We want to find more exotic examples as most of the previous examples have been extensively studied through existing theories (of Brownian motion and continuous semi-martingales).
- All of the previous examples are functional transforms of Brownian motion and have made use of the scaling and Markov properties and (in some cases) isotropic distributional invariance.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### Some of the best friends of your best friends are ssMp

- All of the previous examples have in common that their paths are continuous. Is this a necessary condition?
- We want to find more exotic examples as most of the previous examples have been extensively studied through existing theories (of Brownian motion and continuous semi-martingales).
- All of the previous examples are functional transforms of Brownian motion and have made use of the scaling and Markov properties and (in some cases) isotropic distributional invariance.
- If we replace Brownain motion by an α-stable process, a Lévy process that has scale invariance, then all of the functional transforms still produce new examples of self-similar Markov processes.

14/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

 $\alpha\textsc{-stable process}$ 

## Definition

A Lévy process X is called (strictly)  $\alpha$ -stable if it is also a self-similar Markov process.



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### $\alpha\textsc{-stable process}$

#### Definition

A Lévy process X is called (strictly)  $\alpha$ -stable if it is also a self-similar Markov process.

▶ Necessarily  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ . [ $\alpha = 2 \rightarrow BM$ , exclude this.]



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### $\alpha ext{-STABLE PROCESS}$

#### Definition

A Lévy process X is called (strictly)  $\alpha$ -stable if it is also a self-similar Markov process.

- ▶ Necessarily  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ . [ $\alpha = 2 \rightarrow BM$ , exclude this.]
- The characteristic exponent  $\Psi(\theta) := -t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}(e^{i\theta X_t})$  satisfies

$$\Psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta > 0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta < 0)}), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\rho = P_0(X_t \ge 0)$  will frequently appear as will  $\hat{\rho} = 1 - \rho$ 

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### $\alpha ext{-STABLE PROCESS}$

#### Definition

A Lévy process X is called (strictly)  $\alpha$ -stable if it is also a self-similar Markov process.

- ▶ Necessarily  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ . [ $\alpha = 2 \rightarrow BM$ , exclude this.]
- The characteristic exponent  $\Psi(\theta) := -t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}(e^{i\theta X_t})$  satisfies

$$\Psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta > 0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta < 0)}), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\rho = P_0(X_t \ge 0)$  will frequently appear as will  $\hat{\rho} = 1 - \rho$ 

Assume jumps in both directions ( $0 < \alpha \rho, \alpha \hat{\rho} < 1$ ), so that the Lévy **density** takes the form

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi} \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} \left( \sin(\pi\alpha\rho) \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) \mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}} \right)$$

15/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### $\alpha\textsc{-stable process}$

$$\Psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta > 0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta < 0)}), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that, for 
$$c > 0$$
,  $c^{-\alpha}\Psi(c\theta) = \Psi(\theta)$ ,

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### $\alpha$ -STABLE PROCESS

$$\Psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta>0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta<0)}), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

16/66

・ロト・日本・モト・モト・モー りゃぐ

Note that, for 
$$c > 0$$
,  $c^{-\alpha}\Psi(c\theta) = \Psi(\theta)$ ,

• which is equivalent to saying that  $cX_{c-\alpha_t} =^d X_t$ ,
§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### $\alpha\textsc{-stable process}$

$$\Psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta>0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta<0)}), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

16/66

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー のへぐ

Note that, for 
$$c > 0$$
,  $c^{-\alpha}\Psi(c\theta) = \Psi(\theta)$ ,

- which is equivalent to saying that  $cX_{c-\alpha_t} = {}^d X_t$ ,
- ▶ which by stationary and independent increments is equivalent to saying  $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}, t \ge 0) =^d (X_t, t \ge 0)$  when  $X_0 = 0$ ,

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### $\alpha\textsc{-stable process}$

$$\Psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta > 0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i} \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta < 0)}), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Note that, for 
$$c > 0$$
,  $c^{-\alpha}\Psi(c\theta) = \Psi(\theta)$ ,

- which is equivalent to saying that  $cX_{c-\alpha_t} =^d X_t$ ,
- ▶ which by stationary and independent increments is equivalent to saying  $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}, t \ge 0) =^d (X_t, t \ge 0)$  when  $X_0 = 0$ ,
- or equivalently is equivalent to saying  $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}^{(x)}, t \ge 0) =^d (X_t^{(cx)}, t \ge 0)$ , where we have indicated the point of issue as an additional index.

16/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# STABLE PROCESS PATH PROPERTIES

index	jumps	path	recurrence/transience
$\alpha \in (0,1)$			transient
$\rho = 0$	-	monotone decreasing	$\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t = -\infty$
$\rho = 1$	+	monotone increasing	$\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t = \infty$
$\rho \in (0,1)$	+, -	bounded variation	$\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t =\infty$
$\alpha = 1$			recurrent
$\rho = \frac{1}{2}$	+, -	unbounded variation	$\limsup_{t \to \infty}  X_t  = \infty,$ $\liminf_{t \to \infty}  X_t  = 0$
$\alpha \in (1,2)$			recurrent
$\alpha \rho = 1$	-	unbounded variation	$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\tau^{\{0\}} < \infty) = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\liminf_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = \limsup_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = \infty$
$\alpha \rho = \alpha - 1$	+	unbounded variation	$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\tau^{\{0\}} < \infty) = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\lim \inf_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = \limsup_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = \infty$
$\alpha \rho \in (\alpha - 1, 1)$	+,-	unbounded variation	$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\tau^{\{0\}} < \infty) = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\liminf_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = \limsup_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = \infty$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	00000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

## YOUR NEW FRIENDS

Suppose  $X = (X_t : t \ge 0)$  is within the assumed class of  $\alpha$ -stable processes in one-dimension and let  $\underline{X}_t = \inf_{s \le t} X_s$ .

18/66

Your new friends are:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Z = X
- $\triangleright \ Z = X (-x \wedge \underline{X}), x > 0.$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $Z = X \mathbf{1}_{(X>0)}$
- ► Z = |X| providing  $\rho = 1/2$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

# YOUR NEW FRIENDS

Suppose  $X = (X_t : t \ge 0)$  is within the assumed class of  $\alpha$ -stable processes in one-dimension and let  $\underline{X}_t = \inf_{s \le t} X_s$ .

18/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

Your new friends are:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Z = X
- $\triangleright \ Z = X (-x \wedge \underline{X}), x > 0.$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $Z = X\mathbf{1}_{(X>0)}$
- ► Z = |X| providing  $\rho = 1/2$
- ▶ What about *Z* = "*X* conditioned to stay positive"?

_0000000000000000000000000	000 000

► Recall that each Lévy processes,  $\xi = \{\xi_t : t \ge 0\}$ , enjoys the Wiener-Hopf factorisation i.e. up to a multiplicative constant,  $\Psi_{\xi}(\theta) := t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta\xi_t}]$  respects the factorisation

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\theta) = \kappa(-\mathrm{i}\theta)\hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions. That is e.g.  $\kappa$  takes the form

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + a\lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x})\nu(dx), \qquad \lambda \ge 0$$

where  $\nu$  is a measure satisfying  $\int_{(0,\infty)} (1 \wedge x) \nu(dx) < \infty$ .

0000 <b>00000000000</b> 0000 0000000000 00000 000000	§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
	0000	00000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

► Recall that each Lévy processes,  $\xi = \{\xi_t : t \ge 0\}$ , enjoys the Wiener-Hopf factorisation i.e. up to a multiplicative constant,  $\Psi_{\xi}(\theta) := t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta\xi_t}]$  respects the factorisation

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\theta) = \kappa(-\mathrm{i}\theta)\hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions. That is e.g.  $\kappa$  takes the form

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + a\lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x})\nu(dx), \qquad \lambda \ge 0$$

where  $\nu$  is a measure satisfying  $\int_{(0,\infty)} (1 \wedge x) \nu(dx) < \infty$ .

The probabilistic significance of these subordinators, is that their range corresponds precisely to the range of the running maximum of *ξ* and of -*ξ* respectively.

0000 <b>00000000000</b> 0000 0000000000 00000 000000	§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
	0000	00000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

► Recall that each Lévy processes,  $\xi = \{\xi_t : t \ge 0\}$ , enjoys the Wiener-Hopf factorisation i.e. up to a multiplicative constant,  $\Psi_{\xi}(\theta) := t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta\xi_t}]$  respects the factorisation

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\theta) = \kappa(-\mathrm{i}\theta)\hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  are Bernstein functions. That is e.g.  $\kappa$  takes the form

$$\kappa(\lambda) = q + a\lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x})\nu(dx), \qquad \lambda \ge 0$$

where  $\nu$  is a measure satisfying  $\int_{(0,\infty)} (1 \wedge x) \nu(dx) < \infty$ .

- The probabilistic significance of these subordinators, is that their range corresponds precisely to the range of the running maximum of *ξ* and of -*ξ* respectively.
- In the case of  $\alpha$ -stable processes, up to a multiplicative constant,

$$\kappa(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha \rho} \text{ and } \hat{\kappa}(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha \hat{\rho}}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

19/66 《 다 > 《 쿱 > 《 클 > 《 클 > 《 클 > ⑦ 역 (~)

Associated to the descending ladder subordinator  $\hat{\kappa}$  is its potential measure  $\hat{U}$ , which satisfies

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-\lambda x} \hat{U}(dx) = \frac{1}{\hat{\kappa}(\lambda)}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0$$

Associated to the descending ladder subordinator  $\hat{\kappa}$  is its potential measure  $\hat{U}$ , which satisfies

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-\lambda x} \hat{U}(dx) = \frac{1}{\hat{\kappa}(\lambda)}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

▶ It can be shown that for a Lévy process which satisfies  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \xi_t = \infty$ , for  $A \in \sigma(\xi_u : u \le t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\uparrow}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{x}(A | \underline{X}_{t+s} > 0) = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ \frac{\hat{U}(X_{t})}{\hat{U}(x)} \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t} > 0)} \mathbf{1}_{(A)} \right]$$

20/66

Associated to the descending ladder subordinator  $\hat{\kappa}$  is its potential measure  $\hat{U}$ , which satisfies

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-\lambda x} \hat{U}(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{1}{\hat{\kappa}(\lambda)}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0.$$

► It can be shown that for a Lévy process which satisfies  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \xi_t = \infty$ , for  $A \in \sigma(\xi_u : u \le t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\uparrow}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{x}(A | \underline{X}_{t+s} > 0) = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ \frac{\hat{U}(X_{t})}{\hat{U}(x)} \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t} > 0)} \mathbf{1}_{(A)} \right]$$

▶ In the  $\alpha$ -stable case  $\hat{U}(x) \propto x^{\alpha \hat{\rho}}$ [Note in the excluded case that  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = 1/2$ , i.e. Brownian motion,  $\hat{U}(x) = x$ .]

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

For  $c, x > 0, t \ge 0$  and appropriately bounded, measurable and non-negative f, we can write,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\uparrow}[f(\{cX_{c-\alpha_{S}}:s\leq t\})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(\{cX_{c-\alpha_{S}}^{(x)}:s\leq t\})\frac{(X_{c-\alpha_{t}}^{(x)})^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{x^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{c-\alpha_{t}}^{(x)}\geq 0)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(\{X_{s}^{(cx)}:s\leq t\}\frac{(X_{t}^{(cx)})^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{(cx)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}^{(cx)}\geq 0)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{cx}^{\uparrow}[f(\{X_{s}:s\leq t\})]. \end{split}$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	00000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

For  $c, x > 0, t \ge 0$  and appropriately bounded, measurable and non-negative f, we can write,

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\uparrow}[f(\{cX_{c-\alpha_{S}}:s\leq t\})]\\ &=\mathbb{E}\left[f(\{cX_{c-\alpha_{S}}^{(x)}:s\leq t\})\frac{(X_{c-\alpha_{t}}^{(x)})^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{x^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{c-\alpha_{t}}^{(x)}\geq 0)}\right]\\ &=\mathbb{E}\left[f(\{X_{s}^{(cx)}:s\leq t\}\frac{(X_{t}^{(cx)})^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{(cx)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}^{(cx)}\geq 0)}\right]\\ &=\mathbb{E}_{\alpha x}^{\uparrow}[f(\{X_{s}:s\leq t\})]. \end{split}$$

▶ This also makes the process  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow}), x > 0$ , a self-similar Markov process on  $[0, \infty)$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	00000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

For  $c, x > 0, t \ge 0$  and appropriately bounded, measurable and non-negative f, we can write,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\uparrow}[f(\{cX_{c-\alpha_{S}}:s\leq t\})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(\{cX_{c-\alpha_{S}}^{(x)}:s\leq t\})\frac{(X_{c-\alpha_{t}}^{(x)})^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{x^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{c-\alpha_{t}}^{(x)}\geq 0)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(\{X_{s}^{(cx)}:s\leq t\}\frac{(X_{t}^{(cx)})^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{(cx)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}^{(cx)}\geq 0)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{cx}^{\uparrow}[f(\{X_{s}:s\leq t\})]. \end{split}$$

- ▶ This also makes the process  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow})$ , x > 0, a self-similar Markov process on  $[0, \infty)$ .
- Unlike the case of Brownian motion, the conditioned stable process does not have the law of the radial part of a 3-dimensional stable process (the analogue to the Brownian case).

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### §3. Lamperti Transform



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	00000000000000	●000	000000000000000	00000	000000000000000	000
NOTA	TION					

▶ Use  $\xi := \{\xi_t : t \ge 0\}$  to denote a Lévy process which is killed and sent to the cemetery state  $-\infty$  at an independent and exponentially distributed random time,  $\mathbf{e}_{q_t}$  with rate in  $q \in [0, \infty)$ . The characteristic exponent of  $\xi$  is thus written

 $-\log \mathbf{E}(e^{i\theta\xi_1}) = \Psi(\theta) = q + L$ évy–Khintchine

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	●000	0000000000000000	00000	000000000000000	000

NOTATION

▶ Use  $\xi := \{\xi_t : t \ge 0\}$  to denote a Lévy process which is killed and sent to the cemetery state  $-\infty$  at an independent and exponentially distributed random time,  $\mathbf{e}_q$ , with rate in  $q \in [0, \infty)$ . The characteristic exponent of  $\xi$  is thus written

$$-\log \mathbf{E}(e^{i\theta\xi_1}) = \Psi(\theta) = q + L$$
évy–Khintchine

Define the associated integrated exponential Lévy process

$$I_t = \int_0^t e^{\alpha \xi_s} ds, \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(1)

23/66

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

and its limit,  $I_{\infty} := \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} I_t$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	●000	00000000000000	00000	000000000000000	000

# NOTATION

▶ Use  $\xi := \{\xi_t : t \ge 0\}$  to denote a Lévy process which is killed and sent to the cemetery state  $-\infty$  at an independent and exponentially distributed random time,  $\mathbf{e}_q$ , with rate in  $q \in [0, \infty)$ . The characteristic exponent of  $\xi$  is thus written

$$-\log \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta\xi_1}) = \Psi(\theta) = q + \mathrm{L\acute{e}vy}$$
-Khintchine

Define the associated integrated exponential Lévy process

$$I_t = \int_0^t e^{\alpha \xi_s} ds, \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(1)

and its limit,  $I_{\infty} := \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} I_t$ .

Also interested in the inverse process of *I*:

$$\varphi(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : I_s > t\}, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

$$(2)$$

23/66

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

As usual, we work with the convention  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ .

## LAMPERTI TRANSFORM FOR POSITIVE ssMp

#### Theorem (Part (i))

Fix  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $Z^{(x)}$ , x > 0, is a positive self-similar Markov process with index of self-similarity  $\alpha$ , then up to absorption at the origin, it can be represented as follows. For x > 0,

$$Z_t^{(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta^{(x)}\}} = x \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)}\}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

*where*  $\zeta^{(x)} = \inf\{t > 0 : Z_t^{(x)} = 0\}$  *and either* 

- ζ<sup>(x)</sup> = ∞ almost surely for all x > 0, in which case ξ is a Lévy process satisfying lim sup<sub>t↑∞</sub> ξ<sub>t</sub> = ∞,
- (2) ζ<sup>(x)</sup> < ∞ and Z<sup>(x)</sup><sub>ζ<sup>(x)</sup>-</sub> = 0 almost surely for all x > 0, in which case ξ is a Lévy process satisfying lim<sub>t↑∞</sub> ξ<sub>t</sub> = -∞, or
- (3) ζ<sup>(x)</sup> < ∞ and Z<sup>(x)</sup><sub>ζ<sup>(x)</sup></sub> > 0 almost surely for all x > 0, in which case ξ is a Lévy process killed at an independent and exponentially distributed random time.

In all cases, we may identify  $\zeta^{(x)} = x^{\alpha}I_{\infty}$ .

## LAMPERTI TRANSFORM FOR POSITIVE ssMp

## Theorem (Part (ii))

*Conversely, suppose that*  $\xi$  *is a given (killed) Lévy process. For each* x > 0*, define* 

$$Z_t^{(x)} = x \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)}\}\mathbf{1}_{(t < x^{\alpha}I_{\infty})}, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

25/66

Then  $Z^{(x)}$  defines a positive self-similar Markov process, up to its absorption time  $\zeta^{(x)} = x^{\alpha}I_{\infty}$ , with index  $\alpha$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

 $\leftrightarrow$ 

LAMPERTI TRANSFORM FOR POSITIVE  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ssMp}}$ 

$$(Z, \mathbb{P}_x)_{x>0} \operatorname{pssMp}$$
  
 $Z_t = \exp(\xi_{S(t)}),$ 

S a random time-change

 $(\xi, \mathbf{P}_y)_{y \in \mathbb{R}}$  killed Lévy $\xi_s = \log(Z_{T(s)}),$ *T* a random time-change

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

## LAMPERTI TRANSFORM FOR POSITIVE ssMp

$$(Z, \mathbb{P}_x)_{x>0} \operatorname{pssMp}$$
  
 $Z_t = \exp(\xi_{S(t)}),$ 

S a random time-change

 $(\xi, \mathbf{P}_y)_{y \in \mathbb{R}}$  killed Lévy $\xi_s = \log(Z_{T(s)}),$ 

 ${\it T}$  a random time-change

Z never hits zero Z hits zero continuously Z hits zero by a jump

 $\leftrightarrow$ 

 $\leftrightarrow$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi \to \infty \text{ or } \xi \text{ oscillates} \\ \xi \to -\infty \\ \xi \text{ is killed} \end{array} \right.$ 

26/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### §4. Positive self-similar Markov processes



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	•0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

The stable process cannot 'creep' downwards across the threshold 0 and so must do so with a jump.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	●0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- The stable process cannot 'creep' downwards across the threshold 0 and so must do so with a jump.
- ► This puts  $Z_t^* := X_t \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_t > 0)}, t \ge 0$ , in the class of pssMp for which the underlying Lévy process experiences exponential killing.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	●0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- The stable process cannot 'creep' downwards across the threshold 0 and so must do so with a jump.
- ► This puts  $Z_t^* := X_t \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_t > 0)}, t \ge 0$ , in the class of pssMp for which the underlying Lévy process experiences exponential killing.
- ▶ Write  $\xi^* = \{\xi_t^* : t \ge 0\}$  for the underlying Lévy process and denote its killing rate by  $q^*$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	●0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- The stable process cannot 'creep' downwards across the threshold 0 and so must do so with a jump.
- ► This puts  $Z_t^* := X_t \mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_t > 0)}, t \ge 0$ , in the class of pssMp for which the underlying Lévy process experiences exponential killing.
- ▶ Write  $\xi^* = \{\xi_t^* : t \ge 0\}$  for the underlying Lévy process and denote its killing rate by  $q^*$ .

28/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

• Let's try and decode the characteristics of  $\xi^*$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# STABLE PROCESS KILLED ON ENTRY TO $(-\infty, 0)$ • We know that the $\alpha$ -stable process experiences downward jumps at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x,\qquad x<0.$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# STABLE PROCESS KILLED ON ENTRY TO $(-\infty, 0)$ $\blacktriangleright$ We know that the $\alpha$ -stable process experiences downward jumps at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x,\qquad x<0.$$

• Given that we know the value of  $Z_{t-}^*$ , on  $\{X_t > 0\}$ , the stable process will pass over the origin at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\left(\int_{Z_{t-}^*}^\infty \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x\right) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\alpha\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})(Z_{t-}^*)^{-\alpha}$$

29/66

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ヨー シタの

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

• We know that the  $\alpha$ -stable process experiences downward jumps at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x,\qquad x<0.$$

▶ Given that we know the value of Z<sup>\*</sup><sub>t−</sub>, on {<u>X</u><sub>t</sub> > 0}, the stable process will pass over the origin at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\left(\int_{Z_{t-}^*}^\infty \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x\right) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\alpha\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})(Z_{t-}^*)^{-\alpha}.$$

• On the other hand, the Lamperti transform says that on  $\{t < \zeta\}$ , as a pssMp, *Z* is sent to the origin at rate

$$q^* \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \varphi(t) = q^* \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \xi^*_{\varphi(t)}} = q^* (Z^*_t)^{-\alpha}$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

• We know that the  $\alpha$ -stable process experiences downward jumps at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x,\qquad x<0.$$

▶ Given that we know the value of Z<sup>\*</sup><sub>t−</sub>, on {X<sub>t</sub> > 0}, the stable process will pass over the origin at rate

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})\left(\int_{Z_{t-}^*}^\infty \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x\right) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\alpha\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})(Z_{t-}^*)^{-\alpha}.$$

• On the other hand, the Lamperti transform says that on  $\{t < \zeta\}$ , as a pssMp, *Z* is sent to the origin at rate

$$q^* \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \varphi(t) = q^* \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \xi_{\varphi(t)}^*} = q^* (Z_t^*)^{-\alpha}.$$

Comparing gives us

$$q^* = \Gamma(\alpha) \sin(\pi \alpha \hat{\rho}) / \pi = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha \hat{\rho}) \Gamma(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho})}$$

► Referring again to the Lamperti transform, we know that, under  $\mathbb{P}_1$  (so that  $\xi_0^* = 0$  almost surely),

$$Z_{\zeta-}^* = X_{\tau_0^-} = e^{\xi_{e_q^*}^*},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{q^*}$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with rate  $q^*$ .

▶ Referring again to the Lamperti transform, we know that, under  $\mathbb{P}_1$  (so that  $\xi_0^* = 0$  almost surely),

$$Z_{\zeta-}^* = X_{\tau_0^-} = e^{\xi_{\mathbf{e}_{q^*}}^*},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{q^*}$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with rate  $q^*$ . This motivates the computation

$$\mathbb{E}_{1}[(Z_{\zeta-}^{*})^{\mathrm{i}\theta}] = \mathbf{E}_{0}[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta\xi_{q_{*}}^{*}-}] = \frac{q^{*}}{(\Psi^{*}(z) - q^{*}) + q^{*}}, \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

30/66

イロン 不聞と 不良と 不良とう 良い

where  $\Psi^*$  is the characteristic exponent of  $\xi^*$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

Remembering the "overshoot-undershoot" distributional law at first passage (well known in the literature for Lévy processes c.f. the quintuple law - Chapter 7 of my book) and deduce that, for all  $v \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{1}(X_{\tau_{0}^{-}-} \in \mathrm{d}v) \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{0}(1 - X_{\tau_{1}^{+}-} \in \mathrm{d}v) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{(y \leq 1 \wedge v)} \frac{(1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1}(v-y)^{\alpha\rho-1}}{(v+u)^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}y\right) \mathrm{d}v \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{1}_{(y \leq v)} v^{-\alpha} (1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1} (v-y)^{\alpha\rho-1} \mathrm{d}y\right) \mathrm{d}v, \end{split}$$

31/66

シック・ヨー イヨン イヨン イロン

where  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0$  is the law of -X issued from 0.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

Remembering the "overshoot-undershoot" distributional law at first passage (well known in the literature for Lévy processes c.f. the quintuple law - Chapter 7 of my book) and deduce that, for all  $v \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{1}(X_{\tau_{0}^{-}-} \in \mathrm{d}v) \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{0}(1 - X_{\tau_{1}^{+}-} \in \mathrm{d}v) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{(y \leq 1 \wedge v)} \frac{(1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1}(v-y)^{\alpha\rho-1}}{(v+u)^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}y\right) \mathrm{d}v \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{1}_{(y \leq v)}v^{-\alpha}(1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1}(v-y)^{\alpha\rho-1} \mathrm{d}y\right) \mathrm{d}v, \end{split}$$

where  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0$  is the law of -X issued from 0. Note: more generally (which you will need for an exercise later):

$$\mathbb{P}_{1}(-X_{\tau_{0}^{-}} \in \mathrm{d}u, X_{\tau_{0}^{-}-} \in \mathrm{d}v)$$

$$= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{(y \le 1 \wedge v)} \frac{(1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1}(v-y)^{\alpha\rho-1}}{(v+u)^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \right) \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u$$

31/66

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

We are led to the conclusion that

$$\begin{split} & \frac{q^*}{\Psi^*(\theta)} \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \int_0^1 (1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{(y\leq v)} v^{\mathbf{i}\theta-\alpha\hat{\rho}-1} \left(1-\frac{y}{v}\right)^{\alpha\rho-1} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\hat{\rho}\pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})} \int_0^1 (1-y)^{\alpha\hat{\rho}-1} y^{\mathbf{i}\theta-\alpha\hat{\rho}} \mathrm{d}y \frac{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}-\mathbf{i}\theta)\Gamma(\alpha\rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\mathbf{i}\theta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}-\mathbf{i}\theta)\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho}+\mathbf{i}\theta)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(1+\mathbf{i}\theta)\Gamma(\alpha-\mathbf{i}\theta)}, \end{split}$$

where in the first equality Fubini's Theorem has been used, in the second equality a straightforward substitution w = y/v has been used for the inner integral on the preceding line together with the classical beta integral and, finally, in the third equality, the Beta integral has been used for a second time. Inserting the respective values for the constants  $q^*$  and K, we come to rest at the following result:
# Stable process killed on entry to $(-\infty,0)$

#### Theorem

For the pssMp constructed by killing a stable process on first entry to  $(-\infty, 0)$ , the underlying killed Lévy process,  $\xi^*$ , that appears through the Lamperti transform has characteristic exponent given by

$$\Psi^*(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - iz)}{\Gamma(\alpha \hat{\rho} - iz)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + iz)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho} + iz)}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

33/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

#### STABLE PROCESSES CONDITIONED TO STAY POSITIVE

• Use the Lamperti representation of the  $\alpha$ -stable process *X* to write, for  $A \in \sigma(X_u : u \leq t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\uparrow}(A) = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\frac{X_{t}^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{x^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}>0)}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right] = \mathbf{E}_{0}\left[e^{\alpha\hat{\rho}\xi_{\tau}^{*}}\mathbf{1}_{(\tau<\mathbf{e}_{q^{*}})}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right],$$

where  $\tau = \varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)$  is a stopping time in the natural filtration of  $\xi^*$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### STABLE PROCESSES CONDITIONED TO STAY POSITIVE

• Use the Lamperti representation of the  $\alpha$ -stable process *X* to write, for  $A \in \sigma(X_u : u \leq t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\uparrow}(A) = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\frac{X_{t}^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{x^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}>0)}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[e^{\alpha\hat{\rho}\xi_{\tau}^{*}}\mathbf{1}_{(\tau<\mathbf{e}_{q^{*}})}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right],$$

34/66

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・今日や

where  $\tau = \varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)$  is a stopping time in the natural filtration of  $\xi^*$ .

► Noting that  $\Psi^*(-i\alpha\hat{\rho}) = 0$ , the change of measure constitutes an Esscher transform at the level of  $\xi^*$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### STABLE PROCESSES CONDITIONED TO STAY POSITIVE

• Use the Lamperti representation of the  $\alpha$ -stable process *X* to write, for  $A \in \sigma(X_u : u \leq t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\uparrow}(A) = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\frac{X_{t}^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}{x^{\alpha\hat{\rho}}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}>0)}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[e^{\alpha\hat{\rho}\xi_{\tau}^{*}}\mathbf{1}_{(\tau<\mathbf{e}_{q^{*}})}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right],$$

where  $\tau = \varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)$  is a stopping time in the natural filtration of  $\xi^*$ .

► Noting that  $\Psi^*(-i\alpha\hat{\rho}) = 0$ , the change of measure constitutes an Esscher transform at the level of  $\xi^*$ .

#### Theorem

The underlying Lévy process,  $\xi^{\uparrow}$ , that appears through the Lamperti transform applied to  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow}), x > 0$ , has characteristic exponent given by

$$\Psi^{\uparrow}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha \rho - \mathrm{i}z)}{\Gamma(-\mathrm{i}z)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha \hat{\rho} + \mathrm{i}z)}{\Gamma(1 + \mathrm{i}z)}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

▶ In particular  $\Psi^{\uparrow}(z) = \Psi^*(z - i\alpha\hat{\rho}), z \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $\Psi^{\uparrow}(0) = 0$  (i.e. no killing!)

• One can also check by hand that  $\Psi^{\uparrow\prime}(0+) = \mathbf{E}_0[\xi_1^{\uparrow}] > 0$  so that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t^{\uparrow} = \infty$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- In essence, the case of the stable process conditioned to stay positive boils down to an Esscher transform in the underlying (Lamperti-transformed) Lévy process.
- It was important that we identified a root of  $\Psi^*(z) = 0$  in order to avoid involving a 'time component' of the Esscher transform.

35/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- In essence, the case of the stable process conditioned to stay positive boils down to an Esscher transform in the underlying (Lamperti-transformed) Lévy process.
- It was important that we identified a root of  $\Psi^*(z) = 0$  in order to avoid involving a 'time component' of the Esscher transform.
- However, there is another root of the equation

$$\Psi^*(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - iz)}{\Gamma(\alpha \hat{\rho} - iz)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + iz)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho} + iz)} = 0,$$

namely  $z = -i(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho})$ .



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

- In essence, the case of the stable process conditioned to stay positive boils down to an Esscher transform in the underlying (Lamperti-transformed) Lévy process.
- It was important that we identified a root of  $\Psi^*(z) = 0$  in order to avoid involving a 'time component' of the Esscher transform.
- However, there is another root of the equation

$$\Psi^*(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - iz)}{\Gamma(\alpha \hat{\rho} - iz)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + iz)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho} + iz)} = 0,$$

namely  $z = -i(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho})$ .

And this means that

$$\mathrm{e}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})\xi^*}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

is a unit-mean Martingale, which can also be used to construct an Esscher transform:

$$\Psi^{\downarrow}(z) = \Psi^*(z - i(1 - \alpha\hat{\rho})) = \Psi^{\downarrow}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha\rho - iz)}{\Gamma(1 - iz)} \frac{\Gamma(iz + \alpha\hat{\rho})}{\Gamma(iz)}.$$

35/66 イロト ( 母 ) ( 主 ) ( 主 ) のへで

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

- In essence, the case of the stable process conditioned to stay positive boils down to an Esscher transform in the underlying (Lamperti-transformed) Lévy process.
- It was important that we identified a root of  $\Psi^*(z) = 0$  in order to avoid involving a 'time component' of the Esscher transform.
- However, there is another root of the equation

$$\Psi^*(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - iz)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho} - iz)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + iz)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha\hat{\rho} + iz)} = 0,$$

namely  $z = -i(1 - \alpha \hat{\rho})$ .

And this means that

$$\mathrm{e}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})\xi^*}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

is a unit-mean Martingale, which can also be used to construct an Esscher transform:

$$\Psi^{\downarrow}(z) = \Psi^*(z - \mathrm{i}(1 - \alpha\hat{\rho})) = \Psi^{\downarrow}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha\rho - \mathrm{i}z)}{\Gamma(1 - \mathrm{i}z)} \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{i}z + \alpha\hat{\rho})}{\Gamma(\mathrm{i}z)}.$$

► The choice of notation is pre-emptive since we can also check that  $\Psi^{\downarrow}(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi^{\downarrow\prime}(0) < 0$  so that if  $\xi^{\downarrow}$  is a Lévy process with characteristic exponent  $\Psi^{\downarrow}$ , then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t^{\downarrow} = -\infty$ .

35/66 《 다 > 《 큔 > 《 흔 > 《 • ○ ~ 》

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

### **Reverse engineering**

▶ What now happens if we define for  $A \in \sigma(X_u : u \leq t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\downarrow}(A) = \mathbf{E}_{0}\left[\mathbf{e}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})\xi_{\tau}^{*}}\mathbf{1}_{(\tau < \mathbf{e}_{q^{*}})}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\frac{X_{t}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})}}{x^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}>0)}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right],$$

36/66

(ロト (個) (目) (目) (日) (の)

where  $\tau = \varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)$  is a stopping time in the natural filtration of  $\xi^*$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

### **Reverse engineering**

▶ What now happens if we define for  $A \in \sigma(X_u : u \leq t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\downarrow}(A) = \mathbf{E}_{0}\left[\mathbf{e}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})\xi_{\tau}^{*}}\mathbf{1}_{(\tau < \mathbf{e}_{q^{*}})}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\frac{X_{t}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})}}{x^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}>0)}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right],$$

36/66

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

where  $\tau = \varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)$  is a stopping time in the natural filtration of  $\xi^*$ .

▶ In the same way we checked that  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow})$ , x > 0, is a pssMp, we can also check that  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\downarrow})$ , x > 0 is a pssMp.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

### **Reverse engineering**

▶ What now happens if we define for  $A \in \sigma(X_u : u \leq t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\downarrow}(A) = \mathbf{E}_{0}\left[\mathrm{e}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})\xi_{\tau}^{*}}\mathbf{1}_{(\tau < \mathbf{e}_{q^{*}})}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\frac{X_{t}^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})}}{x^{(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})}}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{X}_{t}>0)}\mathbf{1}_{(A)}\right],$$

36/66

where  $\tau = \varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)$  is a stopping time in the natural filtration of  $\xi^*$ .

- ▶ In the same way we checked that  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\uparrow})$ , x > 0, is a pssMp, we can also check that  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^{\downarrow})$ , x > 0 is a pssMp.
- In an appropriate sense, it turns out that (X, P<sup>↓</sup><sub>x</sub>), x > 0 is the law of a stable process conditioned to continuously approach the origin from above.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	000000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

 $\xi^*,\xi^{\uparrow}$  and  $\xi^{\downarrow}$ 

\_

► The three examples of pssMp offer quite striking underlying Lévy processes

37/66

(ロト・(型ト・ミト・ミト) ヨークへで

Is this exceptional?

#### CENSORED STABLE PROCESSES

- Start with *X*, the stable process.
- Let  $A_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(X_t > 0)} dt$ .
- Let  $\gamma$  be the right-inverse of A, and put  $\check{Z}_t := X_{\gamma(t)}$ .
- Finally, make zero an absorbing state:  $Z_t = \check{Z}_t \mathbf{1}_{(t < T_0)}$  where

$$T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}.$$

38/66

<ロト < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > 、 置 > のへの

Note  $T_0 < \infty$  a.s. if and only if  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  and otherwise  $T_0 = \infty$  a.s. This is the censored stable process.

## CENSORED STABLE PROCESSES

#### Theorem

Suppose that the underlying Lévy process for the censored stable process is denoted by  $\tilde{\xi}$ . Then  $\tilde{\xi}$  is equal in law to  $\xi^{**} \oplus \xi^{C}$ , with

- $\triangleright$   $\xi^{**}$  equal in law to  $\xi^*$  with the killing removed,
- ►  $\xi^{C}$  a compound Poisson process with jump rate  $q^{*} = \Gamma(\alpha) \sin(\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})/\pi$ .

Moreover, the characteristic exponent of  $\widetilde{\xi}$  is given by

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha \rho - \mathrm{i}z)}{\Gamma(-\mathrm{i}z)} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \alpha \rho + \mathrm{i}z)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha + \mathrm{i}z)}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

39/66

### THE RADIAL PART OF A STABLE PROCESS

- Suppose that X is a symmetric stable process, i.e  $\rho = 1/2$ .
- We know that |X| is a pssMp.

#### Theorem

Suppose that the underlying Lévy process for |X| is written  $\xi$ , then it characteristic exponent is given by

$$\Psi(z) = 2^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(-iz+\alpha))}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}iz)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(iz+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(iz+1-\alpha))}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

## HYPERGEOMETRIC LÉVY PROCESSES (REMINDER)

Definition (and Theorem) For  $(\beta, \gamma, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})$  in

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta \leq 2, \ \gamma, \hat{\gamma} \in (0,1) \ \hat{\beta} \geq -1, \ \text{and} \ 1 - \beta + \hat{\beta} + \gamma \wedge \hat{\gamma} \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

there exists a (killed) Lévy process, henceforth refered to as a hypergeometric Lévy process, having the characteristic function

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta + \gamma - iz)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - iz)} \frac{\Gamma(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma} + iz)}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta} + iz)} \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Lévy measure of Y has a density with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by

$$\pi(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{\Gamma(\eta - \hat{\gamma})\Gamma(-\gamma)} e^{-(1-\beta+\gamma)x} {}_2F_1\left(1 + \gamma, \eta; \eta - \hat{\gamma}; e^{-x}\right), & \text{if } x > 0, \\ \\ -\frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{\Gamma(\eta - \gamma)\Gamma(-\hat{\gamma})} e^{(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma})x} {}_2F_1\left(1 + \hat{\gamma}, \eta; \eta - \gamma; e^x\right), & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta := 1 - \beta + \gamma + \hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma}$ , for |z| < 1,  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) := \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(a)_k(b)_k}{(c)_k k!} z^k$ .

41/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

§5. Entrance Laws



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	●0000	00000000000000	000

 We have carefully avoided the issue of talking about pssMp issued from the origin.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	0000	00000000000000	000

- We have carefully avoided the issue of talking about pssMp issued from the origin.
- This should ring alarm bells when we look at the Lamperti transform

$$Z_t^{(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta^{(x)}\}} = x \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)}\} = \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)} + \log x\}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

• On the one hand  $\log x \downarrow -\infty$ , which is the point of issue of  $\xi$ , but

$$\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s e^{\alpha(\xi_u + \log x)} du > t\},$$

meaning that we are sampling the Lévy process over a longer and longer time horizon.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	●0000	0000000000000	000

- We have carefully avoided the issue of talking about pssMp issued from the origin.
- This should ring alarm bells when we look at the Lamperti transform

$$Z_t^{(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta^{(x)}\}} = x \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)}\} = \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)} + \log x\}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

• On the one hand  $\log x \downarrow -\infty$ , which is the point of issue of  $\xi$ , but

$$\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t) = \inf\{s > 0: \int_0^s e^{\alpha(\xi_u + \log x)} du > t\},$$

meaning that we are sampling the Lévy process over a longer and longer time horizon.

We know that 0 is an **absorbing point**, but it might also be an **entrance point** (can it be both?).

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	●0000	0000000000000	000

- We have carefully avoided the issue of talking about pssMp issued from the origin.
- This should ring alarm bells when we look at the Lamperti transform

$$Z_t^{(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta^{(x)}\}} = x \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)}\} = \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)} + \log x\}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

• On the one hand  $\log x \downarrow -\infty$ , which is the point of issue of  $\xi$ , but

$$\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s e^{\alpha(\xi_u + \log x)} du > t\},$$

meaning that we are sampling the Lévy process over a longer and longer time horizon.

- We know that 0 is an **absorbing point**, but it might also be an **entrance point** (can it be both?).
- We know that some of our new friends have no problem using the origin as an entrance point, e.g. |X|, where X is an  $\alpha$ -stable process (or Brownian motion).

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	0000	00000000000000	000

- We have carefully avoided the issue of talking about pssMp issued from the origin.
- This should ring alarm bells when we look at the Lamperti transform

$$Z_t^{(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta^{(x)}\}} = x \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)}\} = \exp\{\xi_{\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t)} + \log x\}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

• On the one hand  $\log x \downarrow -\infty$ , which is the point of issue of  $\xi$ , but

$$\varphi(x^{-\alpha}t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s e^{\alpha(\xi_u + \log x)} du > t\},$$

meaning that we are sampling the Lévy process over a longer and longer time horizon.

- We know that 0 is an **absorbing point**, but it might also be an **entrance point** (can it be both?).
- We know that some of our new friends have no problem using the origin as an entrance point, e.g. |X|, where X is an  $\alpha$ -stable process (or Brownian motion).
- ▶ We know that some of our new friends have no problem using the origin as an entrance point, but also a point of recurrence, e.g. X X, where X is an  $\alpha$ -stable process (or Brownian motion).

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	00000000000000	0000	000000000000000	0●000	000000000000000	000

\_

• We want to find a way to give a meaning to " $\mathbb{P}_0 := \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_x$ ".

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

- We want to find a way to give a meaning to " $\mathbb{P}_0 := \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_x$ ".
- Could look at behaviour of the transition semigroup of Z as its initial value tends to zero. That is to say, to consider whether the weak limit below is well defined:

$$\mathbb{P}_0(Z_t \in \mathrm{d} y) := \lim_{x \to 0} \mathbb{P}_x(Z_t \in \mathrm{d} y), \qquad t, y > 0.$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- We want to find a way to give a meaning to " $\mathbb{P}_0 := \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_x$ ".
- Could look at behaviour of the transition semigroup of Z as its initial value tends to zero. That is to say, to consider whether the weak limit below is well defined:

$$\mathbb{P}_0(Z_t \in \mathrm{d} y) := \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_x(Z_t \in \mathrm{d} y), \qquad t, y > 0.$$

▶ In that case, for any sequence of times  $0 < t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_n < \infty$  and  $y_1, \cdots, y_n \in (0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Markov property gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{0}(Z_{t_{1}} \in dy_{1}, \cdots, Z_{t_{n}} \in dy_{n}) \\ &:= \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{x}(Z_{t_{1}} \in dy_{1}, \cdots, Z_{t_{n}} \in dy_{n}) \\ &= \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{x}(Z_{t_{1}} \in dy_{1}) \mathbb{P}_{y_{1}}(Z_{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in dy_{2}, \cdots, Z_{t_{n}-t_{2}} \in dy_{n}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{0}(Z_{t_{1}} \in dy_{1}) \mathbb{P}_{y_{1}}(Z_{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in dy_{2}, \cdots, Z_{t_{n}-t_{2}} \in dy_{n}). \end{aligned}$$

When the limit exists, it implies the existence of  $\mathbb{P}_0$  as limit of  $\mathbb{P}_x$  as  $x \downarrow 0$ , in the sense of convergence of finite-dimensional distributions.

44/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

▶ We would like a stronger sense of convergence e.g. we would like

$$\mathbb{E}_0[f(Z_s:s\leq t)]:=\lim_{x\to 0}\mathbb{E}_x[f(Z_s:s\leq t)]$$

45/66

for an appropriate measurable function on cadlag paths of length *t*.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

▶ We would like a stronger sense of convergence e.g. we would like

$$\mathbb{E}_0[f(Z_s:s\leq t)] := \lim_{x\to 0} \mathbb{E}_x[f(Z_s:s\leq t)]$$

45/66

(ロト (個) (目) (目) (日) (の)

for an appropriate measurable function on cadlag paths of length *t*.

The right setting to discuss *distributional convergence* is with respect to so-called *Skorokhod topology*.

▶ We would like a stronger sense of convergence e.g. we would like

$$\mathbb{E}_0[f(Z_s:s\leq t)] := \lim_{x\to 0} \mathbb{E}_x[f(Z_s:s\leq t)]$$

for an appropriate measurable function on cadlag paths of length *t*.

- The right setting to discuss *distributional convergence* is with respect to so-called *Skorokhod topology*.
- ROUGHLY: There is a metric on cadlag path space which does a better job of measuring how "close" two paths are than e.g. the uniform functional metric.
- This metric induces a topology (the Skorokhod topology). From this topology, we build a measurable space around the space of cadlag paths.

45/66

▶ Think of  $\mathbb{P}_x$ , x > 0 as a family of measures on this space and we want weak convergence " $\mathbb{P}_0 := \lim_{x \to 0} \mathbb{P}_x$ " on this space.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### Theorem

Suppose that  $(\xi, \mathbf{P}_x), x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the Lévy process (not a compound Poisson process) underlying the pssMp  $(Z, \mathbb{P}_x), x > 0$ . The limit  $\mathbb{P}_0 := \lim_{x \to 0} \mathbb{P}_x$  exists in the sense of convergence with respect to the Skorokhod topology if and only if  $\mathbf{E}_0(H_1^+) < \infty$  ( $H^+$  is the ascending ladder process of  $\xi$ ). Under the assumption that  $\mathbb{E}(\xi_1) > 0$ , for any positive measurable function f and t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}(f(Z_{t})) = \frac{1}{-\alpha \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{0}(\xi_{1})} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{0} \left( \frac{1}{I_{\infty}} f\left( \left( \frac{t}{I_{\infty}} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right) \right),$$

46/66

where  $I_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{\alpha \xi_t} dt$  and  $(\xi, \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0)$  is equal in law to  $(-\xi, \mathbf{P}_0)$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

The previous construction has insisted that *Z* is a *pssMp* with  $\zeta = \infty$  a.s. But what about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

- The previous construction has insisted that *Z* is a *pssMp* with  $\zeta = \infty$  a.s. But what about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s.
- We can say something about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s. and  $X_{\zeta-} = 0$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

- The previous construction has insisted that *Z* is a *pssMp* with  $\zeta = \infty$  a.s. But what about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s.
- ▶ We can say something about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s. and  $X_{\zeta-} = 0$ .
- ► A cadlag strong Markov process,  $\vec{Z} := \{\vec{Z}_t: t \ge 0\}$  with probabilities  $\{\vec{P}_x, x \ge 0\}$ , is a *recurrent extension* of *Z* if, for each x > 0, the origin is not an absorbing state  $\vec{P}_x$ -almost surely and  $\{\vec{Z}_{t \land \vec{\zeta}}: t \ge 0\}$  under  $\vec{P}_x$  has the same law as  $(Z, P_x)$ , where

$$\vec{\zeta} = \inf\{t > 0 : \vec{Z}_t = 0\}.$$

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

- The previous construction has insisted that *Z* is a *pssMp* with  $\zeta = \infty$  a.s. But what about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s.
- ▶ We can say something about the case that  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s. and  $X_{\zeta-} = 0$ .
- ► A cadlag strong Markov process,  $\vec{Z} := \{\vec{Z}_t: t \ge 0\}$  with probabilities  $\{\vec{P}_x, x \ge 0\}$ , is a *recurrent extension* of *Z* if, for each x > 0, the origin is not an absorbing state  $\vec{P}_x$ -almost surely and  $\{\vec{Z}_{t \land \vec{\zeta}}: t \ge 0\}$  under  $\vec{P}_x$  has the same law as  $(Z, P_x)$ , where

$$\vec{\zeta} = \inf\{t > 0 : \vec{Z}_t = 0\}.$$

#### Theorem

If  $\zeta < \infty$  a.s. and  $X_{\zeta-} = 0$ , then there exists a unique recurrent extension of Z which leaves 0 continuously if and only if there exists a  $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$  such

$$\mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{e}^{\beta\xi_1}) = 1.$$

47/66

(日本)(国本)(国本)(国本) 国

*Here, as usual,*  $\alpha$  *is the index of self-similarity.* 

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### §6. Real valued self-similar Markov processes



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	•0000000000000	000

So far we only spoke about  $[0, \infty)$ .



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	•0000000000000	000

- So far we only spoke about  $[0, \infty)$ .
- ▶ What can we say about ℝ-valued self-similar Markov processes.
| §1.  | §2.          | §3.  | §4.            | §5.   | §6.           | Exercises. |
|------|--------------|------|----------------|-------|---------------|------------|
| 0000 | 000000000000 | 0000 | 00000000000000 | 00000 | ●000000000000 | 000        |

- So far we only spoke about  $[0, \infty)$ .
- ▶ What can we say about ℝ-valued self-similar Markov processes.
- ▶ This requires us to first investigate Markov Additive (Lévy) Processes

# MARKOV ADDITIVE PROCESSES (MAPS)

- ► *E* is a finite state space
- ▶  $(J(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is a continuous-time, irreducible Markov chain on *E*
- ▶ process ( $\xi$ , J) in  $\mathbb{R} \times E$  is called a *Markov additive process* (*MAP*) with probabilities  $\mathbf{P}_{x,i}, x \in \mathbb{R}, i \in E$ , if, for any  $i \in E, s, t \ge 0$ : Given  $\{J(t) = i\}$ ,  $(\xi(t+s) \xi(t), J(t+s)) \stackrel{d}{=} (\xi(s), J(s))$  with law  $\mathbf{P}_{0,i}$ .

50/66

#### PATHWISE DESCRIPTION OF A MAP

The pair  $(\xi, J)$  is a Markov additive process if and only if, for each  $i, j \in E$ ,

- ► there exist a sequence of iid Lévy processes (ξ<sup>n</sup><sub>i</sub>)<sub>n≥0</sub>
- ▶ and a sequence of iid random variables  $(U_{ii}^n)_{n\geq 0}$ , independent of the chain *J*,
- ▶ such that if  $T_0 = 0$  and  $(T_n)_{n \ge 1}$  are the jump times of *J*, the process  $\xi$  has the representation

$$\xi(t) = \mathbf{1}_{(n>0)}(\xi(T_n-) + U_{J(T_n-),J(T_n)}^n) + \xi_{J(T_n)}^n(t-T_n),$$

51/66

<ロト < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > 、 置 > のへの

for  $t \in [T_n, T_{n+1}), n \ge 0$ .

# CHARACTERISTICS OF A MAP

- ▶ Denote the transition rate matrix of the chain *J* by  $\mathbf{Q} = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ .
- For each *i* ∈ *E*, the Laplace exponent of the Lévy process ξ<sub>i</sub> will be written ψ<sub>i</sub> (when it exists).
- ▶ For each pair of *i*, *j* ∈ *E* with *i* ≠ *j*, define the Laplace transform  $G_{ij}(z) = \mathbb{E}(e^{zU_{ij}})$  of the jump distribution  $U_{ij}$  (when it exists).
- Otherwise define  $U_{i,i} \equiv 0$ , for each  $i \in E$ .
- Write G(z) for the  $N \times N$  matrix whose (i, j)th element is  $G_{ij}(z)$ .
- Let

 $\Psi(z) = \operatorname{diag}(\psi_1(z), \ldots, \psi_N(z)) + \mathbf{Q} \circ G(z),$ 

(when it exists), where o indicates elementwise multiplication.

• The matrix exponent of the MAP  $(\xi, J)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{0,i}(e^{z\xi(t)}; J(t) = j) = \left(e^{\Psi(z)t}\right)_{i,j}, \qquad i, j \in E,$$

(when it exists).

52/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

# DUAL MAP

- ► Thanks to irreducibility, the Markov chain *J* necessarily has a stationary distribution. We denote it by the vector  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_N)$ .
- Each MAP has a dual process, also a MAP, with probabilities  $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{x,i}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in E$ , determined by the dual characteristic matrix exponent (when it exists),

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}(z) := \operatorname{diag}(-\Psi_1(-z), \cdots, -\Psi_N(-z)) + \hat{\boldsymbol{Q}} \circ \boldsymbol{G}(-z)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

where  $\hat{Q}$  is the time-reversed Markov chain *J*,

$$\hat{q}_{i,j} = \frac{\pi_j}{\pi_i} q_{j,i}, \qquad i,j \in E.$$

Note that the latter can also be written  $\hat{Q} = \Delta_{\pi}^{-1} Q^{T} \Delta_{\pi}$ , where  $\Delta_{\pi} = \text{diag}(\pi)$ .  $\blacktriangleright$  When it exists,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}(z) = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\pi}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(-z)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\pi},$$

showing that

$$\pi_i \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{0,i} \left[ e^{iz\xi_t}, J_t = j \right] = \pi_j \mathbf{E}_{0,j} \left[ e^{-iz\xi_t}, J_t = i \right].$$

#### Lemma

The time-reversed process  $((\xi_{(t-s)-} - \xi_t, J_{(t-s)-}), s \leq t)$  under  $\mathbf{P}_{0,\pi}$  is equal in law to  $((\xi_s, J_s), s \leq t)$  under  $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{0,\pi}$ .

53/66

0000 00000000000 0000 0000000000 0000 0000	§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
	0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

# LAMPERTI-KIU TRANSFORM

• Take *J* to be irreducible on  $E = \{1, -1\}$ .



## LAMPERTI-KIU TRANSFORM

• Take *J* to be irreducible on 
$$E = \{1, -1\}$$
.

Let

$$Z_t = |x| e^{\xi(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t))} J(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) \qquad 0 \le t < T_0,$$

where

$$\tau(t) = \inf\left\{s > 0 : \int_0^s \exp(\alpha\xi(u)) \mathrm{d}u > t\right\}$$

and

$$T_0 = |x|^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha \xi(u)} du.$$

▶ Then  $Z_t$  is a real-valued self-similar Markov process in the sense that the law of  $(cZ_{tc-\alpha} : t \ge 0)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$  is  $\mathbb{P}_{cx}$ .

54/66 《 디 ▷ 《 쿱 ▷ 《 恴 ▷ 《 恴 ▷ 옷 ⓒ

§1. §	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

# LAMPERTI-KIU TRANSFORM

Take *J* to be irreducible on 
$$E = \{1, -1\}$$
.

Let

$$Z_t = |x| e^{\xi(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t))} J(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) \qquad 0 \le t < T_0,$$

where

$$\tau(t) = \inf\left\{s > 0 : \int_0^s \exp(\alpha\xi(u)) \mathrm{d}u > t\right\}$$

and

$$T_0 = |x|^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{\alpha\xi(u)} \mathrm{d}u.$$

54/66

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

- ► Then  $Z_t$  is a real-valued self-similar Markov process in the sense that the law of  $(cZ_{tc-\alpha} : t \ge 0)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$  is  $\mathbb{P}_{cx}$ .
- The converse (within a special class of rssMps) is also true.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

Given the Lamperti-Kiu representation

$$Z_t = e^{\xi(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) + \log |x|} J(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) \qquad 0 \le t < T_0,$$

it is clear that we can think of a similar construction from zero to the case of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{pss}}\xspace$ 

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

Given the Lamperti-Kiu representation

$$Z_t = e^{\xi(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) + \log |x|} J(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) \qquad 0 \le t < T_0,$$

it is clear that we can think of a similar construction from zero to the case of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{pss}}\xspace$ 

▶ We need to construct a stationary version of the pair  $(\xi, J)$  which is indexed by  $\mathbb{R}$  and pinned at space-time point  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

Given the Lamperti-Kiu representation

 $Z_t = e^{\xi(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) + \log |x|} J(\tau(|x|^{-\alpha}t)) \qquad 0 \le t < T_0,$ 

it is clear that we can think of a similar construction from zero to the case of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ps}}\xspace{\mathsf{sm}}\xspace{\mathsf{sm}}$ 

- ▶ We need to construct a stationary version of the pair  $(\xi, J)$  which is indexed by  $\mathbb{R}$  and pinned at space-time point  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .
- ▶ Just like the theory of Lévy processes, by observing the range of the process  $(\xi_t, J_t)$  $t \ge 0$ , **only** at the points of its new suprema, we see a process  $(H_t^+, J_t^+)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , which is also a MAP, where  $H^+$  is has increasing paths.

55/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

0000 00000000000 0000 000000000 00000 0000	§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
	0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	0000000000000	000

#### Theorem

Assume that Z is a conservative real self-similar Markov process. Moreover, suppose that the MAP  $((\xi, \Theta), \mathbf{P})$ , associated with Z through the Lamperti-Kiu transform, is such that  $\xi$  is not concentrated on a lattice and its ascending ladder height process H which satisfies  $\mathbf{E}_{0,\pi}(H_1) < \infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}_0 := \lim_{x\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_x$  exists, in the sense of convergence of on the Skorokhod space, under which Z leaves the origin continuously. Conversely, if  $\mathbf{E}_{0,\pi}(H_1) = \infty$ , then this limit does not exist. Under the additional assumption that  $\mathbf{E}_{0,\pi}(\xi_1) > 0$ , for any positive measurable function f and t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}(f(Z_{t})) = \frac{1}{-\alpha \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{0,\pi}(\xi_{1})} \sum_{i=\pm 1} \pi_{i} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{0,i} \left( \frac{1}{I_{\infty}} f\left( i \left( \frac{t}{I_{\infty}} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right) \right), \tag{3}$$

where  $I_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} \exp\{\alpha \xi_s\} ds$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{x,i}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = \pm 1$ .

56/66 ▶ ▲ 토 ▶ ▲ 토 → 의 < ♡ < <>

# An $\alpha$ -stable process is a rssMp

- An  $\alpha$ -stable process up to absorption in the origin is a rssMp.
- When  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the process never hits the origin a.s.

### An $\alpha$ -stable process is a rssMp

- An  $\alpha$ -stable process up to absorption in the origin is a rssMp.
- When  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the process never hits the origin a.s.
- When  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , the process is absorbs at the origin a.s.

#### An $\alpha$ -stable process is a rssMp

- An  $\alpha$ -stable process up to absorption in the origin is a rssMp.
- When  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the process never hits the origin a.s.
- When  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , the process is absorbs at the origin a.s.
- The matrix exponent of the underlying MAP is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}-z)\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho}+z)} & \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})} \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho)} & -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho-z)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho+z)} \end{bmatrix},$$

for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \in (-1, \alpha)$ . Note a matrix *A* in this context is arranged with the ordering

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{1,1} & A_{1,-1} \\ A_{-1,1} & A_{-1,-1} \end{array}\right)$$

57/66 イロト ( 母 ) ( 主 ) ( 主 ) のへの

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	0000000000000	00000	000000000000000	000

### ESSCHER TRANSFORM FOR MAPS

- If  $\Psi(z)$  is well defined then it has a real simple eigenvalue  $\chi(z)$ , which is larger than the real part of all its other eigenvalues.
- Furthermore, the corresponding right-eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}(z) = (v_1(z), \dots, v_N(z))$  has strictly positive entries and may be normalised such that  $\pi \cdot \mathbf{v}(z) = 1$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma\{(\xi(s), J(s)) : s \le t\}, t \ge 0$ , and

$$M_t := \mathrm{e}^{\gamma \xi(t) - \chi(\gamma)t} \frac{v_{J(t)}(\gamma)}{v_i(\gamma)}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\chi(\gamma)$  is defined. Then,  $M_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , is a unit-mean martingale. Moreover, under the change of measure

$$\left. \mathrm{d} \mathbf{P}_{0,i}^{\gamma} \right|_{\mathcal{G}_t} = M_t \left. \mathrm{d} \mathbf{P}_{0,i} \right|_{\mathcal{G}_t}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

the process  $(\xi, J)$  remains in the class of MAPs with new exponent given by

$$\Psi_{\gamma}(z) = \Delta_{v}(\gamma)^{-1}\Psi(z+\gamma)\Delta_{v}(\gamma) - \chi(\gamma)\mathbf{I}.$$

*Here*, **I** *is the identity matrix and*  $\Delta_{v}(\gamma) = \text{diag}(v(\gamma))$ *.* 

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

### ESSCHER AND DRIFT

Suppose that  $\chi$  is defined in some open interval *D* of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then, it is smooth and convex on *D*.

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

#### ESSCHER AND DRIFT

- Suppose that  $\chi$  is defined in some open interval *D* of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then, it is smooth and convex on *D*.
- Since  $\Psi(0) = -\mathbf{Q}$ , if, moreover, *J* is irreducible, we always have  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{v}(0) = (1, \dots, 1)$ . So  $0 \in D$  and  $\chi'(0)$  is well defined and finite.

59/66

- コン・4日ン・4日ン・4日ン・4日ン

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

#### ESSCHER AND DRIFT

- Suppose that  $\chi$  is defined in some open interval *D* of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then, it is smooth and convex on *D*.
- Since  $\Psi(0) = -\mathbf{Q}$ , if, moreover, *J* is irreducible, we always have  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{v}(0) = (1, \dots, 1)$ . So  $0 \in D$  and  $\chi'(0)$  is well defined and finite.
- ► With all of the above

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\xi_t}{t} = \chi'(0) \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

59/66

- コン・4日ン・4日ン・4日ン・4日ン

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises
0000	00000000000000	0000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

#### ESSCHER AND THE STABLE-MAP

For the MAP that underlies the stable process  $D = (-1, \alpha)$ , it can be checked that  $\det \Psi(\alpha - 1) = 0$  i.e.  $\chi(\alpha - 1) = 0$ , which makes

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{\circ}(z) &= \mathbf{\Delta}^{-1} \Psi(z+\alpha-1) \mathbf{\Delta} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho-z)\Gamma(\alpha\rho+z)} & \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho)} \\ & \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})} & -\frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho}-z)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}+z)} \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

60/66

- コン・4日ン・4日ン・4日ン・4日ン

where  $\Delta = \text{diag}(\sin(\pi \alpha \hat{\rho}), \sin(\pi \alpha \rho)).$ 

§1. §2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
00000 00000	00000000 0000	000000000	00000 00000	00000000000000	000 000

#### ESSCHER AND THE STABLE-MAP

For the MAP that underlies the stable process  $D = (-1, \alpha)$ , it can be checked that  $\det \Psi(\alpha - 1) = 0$  i.e.  $\chi(\alpha - 1) = 0$ , which makes

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{\circ}(z) &= \mathbf{\Delta}^{-1} \Psi(z+\alpha-1) \mathbf{\Delta} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho-z)\Gamma(\alpha\rho+z)} & \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho)} \\ & \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})} & -\frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho}-z)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}+z)} \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where  $\Delta = \text{diag}(\sin(\pi \alpha \hat{\rho}), \sin(\pi \alpha \rho)).$ 

▶ When  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\chi'(0) > 0$  (because the stable process never touches the origin a.s.) and  $\Psi^{\circ}(z)$ -MAP drifts to  $-\infty$ 

60/66

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

§1. §2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000 0000000000000000000000000000000000	0000 0000	00000000000	00000 00	000000000000000	000

#### ESSCHER AND THE STABLE-MAP

For the MAP that underlies the stable process  $D = (-1, \alpha)$ , it can be checked that  $\det \Psi(\alpha - 1) = 0$  i.e.  $\chi(\alpha - 1) = 0$ , which makes

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{\circ}(z) &= \mathbf{\Delta}^{-1} \Psi(z+\alpha-1) \mathbf{\Delta} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho-z)\Gamma(\alpha\rho+z)} & \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho)} \\ & \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})} & -\frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho}-z)\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}+z)} \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where  $\Delta = \text{diag}(\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}), \sin(\pi\alpha\rho)).$ 

- ▶ When  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\chi'(0) > 0$  (because the stable process never touches the origin a.s.) and  $\Psi^{\circ}(z)$ -MAP drifts to  $-\infty$
- ▶ When  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ,  $\chi'(0) < 0$  (because the stable process touches the origin a.s.) and  $\Psi^{\circ}(z)$ -MAP drifts to  $+\infty$ .

60/66

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

# RIESZ-BOGDAN-ZAK TRANSFORM

Theorem (Riesz–Bogdan–Zak transform)

Suppose that X is an  $\alpha$ -stable process as outlined in the introduction. Define

$$\eta(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s |X_u|^{-2\alpha} du > t\}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $(-1/X_{\eta(t)})_{t \ge 0}$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$  is equal in law to  $(X, \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{-1/x})$ , where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{x}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_{t}} = \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\mathrm{sgn}(X_{t})}{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\mathrm{sgn}(x)}\right) \left|\frac{X_{t}}{x}\right|^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau^{\{0\}}\}}$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_s : s \le t), t \ge 0$ . Moreover, the process  $(X, \mathbb{P}_x^\circ), x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is a self-similar Markov process with underlying MAP via the Lamperti-Kiu transform given by  $\Psi^\circ(z)$ .

# What is the $\Psi^{\circ}$ -MAP?

Thinking of the affect on the long term behaviour of the underlying MAP of the Esscher transform

▶ When  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $(X, \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{x})$ ,  $x \neq 0$  has the law of the the stable process conditioned to absorb continuously at the origin in the sense,

$$\mathbb{P}_y^{\circ}(A) = \lim_{a \to 0} \mathbb{P}_y(A, t < T_0 \mid \tau_{(-a,a)} < \infty),$$

for 
$$A \in \mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \le t)$$
,  
 $\tau_{(-a,a)} = \inf\{t > 0 : |X_t| < a\}$  and  $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$ .

▶ When  $\alpha \in (1,2)$ ,  $(X, \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{x})$ ,  $x \neq 0$  has the law of the stable process conditioned to avoid the origin in the sense

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{y}(A \mid T_{0} > t + s),$$

for  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \le t)$  and  $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}.$ 

62/66 《 디 ▷ 《 클 ▷ 《 클 ▷ 《 클 ▷ 의 약 약

§1. §2.		33.	§4.	§5.	36.	Exercises.
0000 00	000000000000000	0000	000000000000000	00000	00000000000000000	000

§Exercise Set 1



§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	•00

# EXERCISES

- 1. Suppose that *X* is a stable process in any dimension (including the case of a Brownian motion). Show that |*X*| is a positive self-similar Markov process.
- 2. Suppose that *B* is a one-dimensional Brownian motion. Prove that

$$\frac{B_t}{x}\mathbf{1}_{(\underline{B}_t>0)}, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

is a martingale, where  $\underline{B}_t = \inf_{s \le t} B_s$ .

- 3. Suppose that *X* is a stable process with two-sided jumps
  - Show that the range of the ascending ladder process H, say range(H) has the property that it is equal in law to c × range(H).
  - Hence show that, up to a multiplicative constant, the Laplace exponent of *H* satisfies  $k(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha_1}$  for  $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$  (and hence the ascending ladder height process is a stable subordinator).
  - Use the fact that, up to a multiplicative constant

$$\Psi(z) = |\theta|^{\alpha} (\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta>0)} + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta<0)}) = \hat{\kappa}(\mathrm{i}z)\kappa(-\mathrm{i}z)$$

to deduce that

$$\kappa(\theta) = \theta^{\alpha \rho} \text{ and } \hat{\kappa}(\theta) = \theta^{\alpha \hat{\rho}}.$$

and that  $0 < \alpha \rho, \alpha \hat{\rho} < 1$ 

What kind of process corresponds to the case that  $\alpha \rho = 1$ ?

§1. 0000	§2. 0000000000000	§3. 0000	§4. 00000000000000	§5. 00000	§6. 00000000000000	Exercises.

# EXERCISES

- 4. Suppose that  $(X, P_x)$ , x > 0 is a positive self-similar Markov process and let  $\zeta = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$  be the lifetime of *X*. Show that  $P_x(\zeta < \infty)$  does not depend on *x* and is either 0 for all x > 0 or 1 for all x > 0.
- 5. Suppose that *X* is a symmetric stable process in dimension one (in particular  $\rho = 1/2$ ) and that the underlying Lévy process for  $|X_t| \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau^{\{0\}}\}}$ , where  $\tau^{\{0\}} = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$ , is written  $\xi$ . (Note the indicator is only needed when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  as otherwise *X* does not hit the origin.) Show that (up to a multiplicative constant) its characteristic exponent is given by

$$\Psi(z) = 2^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(-iz+\alpha))}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}iz)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(iz+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(iz+1-\alpha))}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

[Hint!] Think about what happens after X first crosses the origin and apply the Markov property as well as symmetry. You will need to use the law of the overshoot of X below the origin given a few slides back.

65/66

§1.	§2.	§3.	§4.	§5.	§6.	Exercises.
0000	0000000000000	0000	00000000000000	00000	00000000000000	000

# EXERCISES

6. Use the previous exercise to deduce that the MAP exponent underlying a stable process with two sided jumps is given by

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho}-z)\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho}+z)} & \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\hat{\rho})\Gamma(1-\alpha\hat{\rho})} \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho)} & -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-z)\Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha\rho-z)\Gamma(1-\alpha\rho+z)} \end{array} \right],$$
for Re(z)  $\in (-1, \alpha).$ 

66/66

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー のへぐ